

EDITORIAL

The present issue of *Aristotelica* brings together contributions that range from philosophical interpretation to textual criticism, from the transmission of manuscripts to the reception of Aristotle in late antiquity and the early modern period. Considered together, they offer a picture of the diversity and vitality of contemporary Aristotelian studies.

One feature of the volume is the prominence of research on the Neoplatonic tradition. Three contributions examine Aristotle's reception in different contexts, from the Greek commentators to the Armenian world, continuing a line of research that has already been explored in previous issues of the journal and in the annual panel "Aristotle among the Neoplatonists" at the annual conference of the International Society for Neoplatonic Studies (ISNS). The geographical horizon thus extends beyond the better-known centers of the Greek and Latin traditions, drawing attention to intellectual landscapes that deserve an increasingly prominent place in the history of Aristotelianism.

The same widening of perspective characterizes other contributions. Medieval translations, Renaissance printed editions, and newly identified manuscript witnesses are approached not simply as documents of textual history but as part of the intellectual environments through which Aristotle continued to be read, discussed, and appropriated in different languages and cultures. The Aristotelian tradition appears here not as a single line of transmission, but as a network of texts, readers, translators, commentators, and scholars extending across the Mediterranean and beyond.

In particular, the study devoted to the Giunta edition of the *Metaphysica Nova* illustrates how a well-known Renaissance printed text may still yield new evidence for the history of the Aristotelian textual tradition, well beyond its significance for the history of printing.

Documentary evidence is also emphasized in the volume. Beyond presenting new interpretations, it offers direct access to a newly identified manuscript witness through the analytical discussion of selected readings and the publication of the complete photographic plates of its *Historia animalium*

Book X, making this primary source immediately available for further research. This documentary dimension is intended to become an increasingly important feature of the journal.

Taken together, the contributions in this issue reflect several of the directions currently shaping Aristotelian studies: renewed attention to textual transmission and documentary evidence, growing interest in the multilingual history of the tradition, and continuing dialogue between philosophical interpretation and philological research.